

1 **A MODEL FOR WHEAT YIELD PREDICTION BASED ON**
2 **REAL-TIME MONITORING OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS**

3
4 **Dumont B., Lebeau F., Vancutsem F., Moureaux C., Bodson B., and**
5 **Destain M-F.**

6
7 *Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech*
8 *University of Liege*
9 *Gembloux, Belgium, Europa*

10
11 **Destain J-P.**

12
13 *Phytotechnical Strategy Department*
14 *CRA-W Walloon Agronomical Research Center*
15 *Gembloux, Belgium, Europa*

16
17
18
19 **ABSTRACT**

20
21 This paper presents the results of a one year preliminary study in which a
22 real-time monitoring system was used to feed the STICS soil crop model. As
23 indicated by the statistical criteria (RMSE and model efficiency), the optimization
24 of some wheat crop parameters allows the model to predict the yields with good
25 accuracy for different soil type and different nitrogen application rates.

26
27
28 **Keywords:** Microsensors, Wireless network, Crop model, STICS

29
30
31
32 **INTRODUCTION**

33
34 In Belgium, the second “*Sustainable Nitrogen Management Plan*” (PGDA)
35 started since 2007 and deals with organic and mineral nitrogen fertilisation for
36 crops, catch crops and soil nitrogen residue. Distributed soil crop models appear
37 as a promising approach to estimate nitrogen fertiliser requirements (Houlès et al.,
38 2004) and to quantify the impact on yields and environment (e.g. N leaching)
39 (Beaudoin et al., 2008). This paper presents the performance and robustness
40 assessments of a dynamic crop growth model based on real time data acquired by
41 wireless microsensors.

42
43 **METHODS**

44
45 Analysed data come from seven different nitrogen applications rates trials (0 to
46 240 kgN/ha) carried out on a belgian wheat crop culture (*Triticum aestivum* L.,
47
48

1 cultivar Julius) implanted on three different soil types (silty, loamy and sandy
2 loam) in Gembloux (BE). The soil profiles were initially described, while the soil
3 nitrogen concentrations (NO_3^- and NH_4^+) were regularly measured along the
4 growing seasons. The plant characteristics (biomass/grain yields and protein
5 content, LAI) were also followed during all the experimental period.

6 The wireless monitoring system (*eKo pro series - Crossbow*) was extended to
7 cover the field spatial heterogeneity. It allows the measurements of suction, water
8 content and temperature of the soil (2 depths), atmospherical temperature and
9 humidity, solar radiation, wind and rain data.

10 The crop model *STICS* (INRA-France) provides insight into the mechanisms
11 of plant development, taking into account the cultivation techniques, the climatic
12 data, and being able of working with readily available spatialized inputs
13 (Brisson et al., 1998). The daily microclimatic measurements were used to feed the
14 crop growth model, while the environmental data (e.g. soil water content) and the
15 biophysical variables (yields and biomass) were simulated.

16 The model was first calibrated to the Julius wheat cultivar. To achieve this
17 goal, a few crop parameters were optimised on a particular data set, according to
18 the normalized deviation and the model efficiency criteria (Beaudoin et al., 2008).
19 Then the model was run on all combinations of soil types and applied nitrogen
20 rates.

21 22 **RESULTS AND CONCLUSION**

23
24 The results of the model were in close agreement with the experimental data
25 whatever the soil type or the nitrogen rate applicated. Global RMSE of $1,71 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$
26 (9,55% against mean) and $0,59 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ (5,25% against mean) were respectively
27 found for biomass growth and grain yields. Differences between observations and
28 forecast yields were most of the time lower than the standard deviation on the
29 measurements. Model efficiencies of 0,49 and 0,79 were obtained respectively for
30 dry matter and grain yields.

31 Further study will focus on the whole N balance prediction (soil and crop
32 exportation), in order to develop a methodology that has the potential to be used
33 as a tool for managing the nitrogen applications (date and rates of application).

34 35 **REFERENCES**

- 36
37 Beaudoin N., Launay M., Sauboua E., Ponsardin G., Mary B. 2008. Evaluation of
38 the soil crop model *STICS* over 8 years against the “on farm” database of
39 Bruyères catchment. *Europ. J. Agronomy*, 29 : p.46-57.
- 40 Brisson N., Mary B., Ripoche D., Jeuffroy MH., Ruget F., Nicoullaud B., Gate P.,
41 Devienne-Barret F., Antonioletti R., Durr C., Richard G., Beaudoin N.,
42 Recous S., Tayot X., Plenet D., Cellier P., Machet JM., Meynard JM.,
43 Delécolle R. 1998. *STICS* : a generic model for the simulation of crops and
44 their
45 water and nitrogen balances. I. Theory and parametrization applied to wheat and
46 corn. *Agronomie*, 18 : p. 311-346.

- 1 Houlès V., Mary B., Guerif M., Makowski D., Justes E. 2004. Evaluation of the
- 2 ability of the crop model STICS to recommend nitrogen fertilisation rates
- 3 according to agro-environmental criteria. *Agronomie*, 24 : p. 339-349.