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#### Abstract

. Precision agriculture is used in a wide variety of field operations and agricultural practices that affect our daily lives. Many fields of agriculture are increasingly adopting equipment automation, robotics, and machine learning techniques. These all lead to recognize that data collection and exploitation is a valuable tool assisting in real-time farming and livestock decisions. Thus, the immediate need to empower students in Agriculture Sciences with mathematical tools using data analysis is more imperative than ever before. Such tools will better prepare them for the challenges they face while working with data analysis and models in the context of Internet of Things (IoT). This paper aims to summarize previous experience in teaching Mathematics using data analyses as motivation to important Mathematical concepts towards applications in the area. This is a result from a thorough research and discussion with colleagues among many areas of applications within the field of Agriculture Sciences, which is in itself a rich interdisciplinary field. The examples gathered from this work were then used as motivation for teaching Mathematics to agriculture engineering students based and data analysis, aimed to prepare them towards using these tools more effectively not only throughout their degree, but helping to advance the field towards precision agriculture. I will describe a few instances of how data obtained from the literature are contextualized to present the concepts of functions, derivative and integration, multivariable functions, and linear algebra. In this one-year course, the students were introduced to and got familiarized with concepts and laws that would help them into to succeed in Soil Physics, Statistics, Meteorology, Topography, Economics, Biology, and so on. Besides sharing this experience, the idea here is to stir in the community this new paradigm for teaching Mathematics in the field of Agriculture Sciences.
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## Introduction

Agricultural Sciences is possibly the field that most directly influences our daily lives. That is because it deals with our food supply and security. Today precision agriculture is used by a wide variety of farming operations and agricultural practices. This is primarily due to the reduction in the cost of acquisition of data and technology making precision agriculture a viable path to make agriculture more sustainable and increasing food availability.

For example, precision agriculture seeks to use new technologies to increase crop yields and profitability while lowering the levels of traditional inputs needed to grow crops (land, water, fertilizer, herbicides and insecticides). Fields can be leveled by lasers, which means water can be applied more efficiently without running off into local streams and rivers. Field precision agriculture is enabled by using data obtained by precision geolocation, remote sensing, and the mapping of soils, nutrients, and crop conditions as well as the location of weeds and pathogens.

This implies that an agriculture engineer must be prepared to make better use of this abundance of data and apply it to a more effective decision making.

This also calls for a better multidisciplinary integration between Mathematics and Agronomy aiming to equip students with critical thinking about the use of data analysis and mathematical models in their area of application. By teaching these students, since their first year of undergraduate education, Mathematics with motivation focused on data analysis and models concerning their area of application, will better prepare them for their classes that uses these concepts and will encourage them to develop more scientific questions that turn into future investigations and development of new research areas in their filed.

This type of approach is nowadays more common in Mathematics as well, that is why the idea of the STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) education came about. However, the difficulty with the use of mathematics for agricultural scientists is that most courses in applied mathematics have been designed for other engineering students and without the data analysis. Agronomy, however, is different than the other engineering fields, because it comprises the use and application of biology, chemistry, plant genetics and physiology, animal sciences, meteorology, topography, soil sciences, economy and administration. Meaning that it is itself a multidisciplinary field, thus the need to propose an approach that will make use of this characteristic.

What will be described are some examples on how one can present and explore mathematical concepts using data and the idea of creating a mathematical model for prediction. This idea was first developed in a research proposal in Brazil that produced a textbook, on its $8^{\text {th }}$ reprint now, which can be translated as: Applied Mathematics to Agriculture Sciences: Data Analysis and Models [2]. This work is now being reviewed with the intend of translating to English and extending to include further advanced topics like Differential Equations.

## Calculus (in one variable) using Data Analysis

Using data sets to motivate mathematical models is based on assumptions that each data set presented describes an exact mathematical relationship called function. By analyzing the phenomenon one can establish the domain and range of such functions as well whether this function (phenomenon) describes an increasing or decreasing behavior. It will be presented an example and how this can be dealt in the context of Agronomy.

## Basics Functional Models:

As an example, a polynomial model will be presented here, because it comprises concepts used in derivatives and integral. There are similar techniques for exploring data representing exponential, logarithm, power function and trigonometric models.

In order to identify whether a data set describes polynomial behavior, one can compute and analyze whether the first rate of change (FRC). This information is crucial in data analysis because it determines how fast (or slow) the function is increasing or decreasing. If FRC is constant, then the data describe a linear function. If not, one can proceed to compute the second rate of change (SRC), to verify maybe whether this data models a quadratic function, or yet use the third rate of change (TRC) to verify whether it is a cubic, and so on. Obviously, it is very important to understand what each rate of change tell us about the data, and a sketch of its graph. A data set modeling a cubic polynomial summarizes this information on Example 1.

Example 1: The first 2 columns of Table 1 describe corn production $C$ [tons/acre] as a function of phosphorus $p$ [tons/acre] added as fertilizer. The FRC, SRC and TRC are obtained as:

Table 1. Corn production $C[t / a]$ as a function of amount of phosphorus p [t/a] -

| $p[t / a]$ | $C(p)[t / a]$ | $F R C=\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta p}$ | $\mathbf{S R C}=\frac{\Delta^{2} C}{\Delta p^{2}}$ <br> $[t / a]^{-1}$ | TRC= <br> $\frac{\Delta^{3} C}{\Delta p^{3}}[t / a]^{-2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 72.15 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 88.15 | 8 |  |  |
| 4 | 109.75 | 10.8 | 1.4 | -0.3 |
| 6 | 134.55 | 12.4 | 0.8 | -0.3 |
| 8 | 160.15 | 12.8 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| 10 | 184.15 | 12 | -0.4 | -0.3 |
| 12 | 204.15 | 10 | -1.0 | -0.3 |
| 14 | 217.75 | 6.8 | -1.6 | -0.3 |
| 16 | 222.55 | 2.4 | -2.2 | -0.3 |
| 18 | 216.15 | -3.2 | -2.8 |  |

After this analysis and by working backward


Figure 1: Graph obtained from Eq. (1) substitution as a simple system, one can conclude that the corn production given by this data is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(p)=-0.05 p^{3}+p^{2}+6.25 p+72.15[t / a] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other similar mathematical strategies are available to identify whether a data can be modeled by polynomial of other degrees, exponential, power functions or trigonometric functions. Limit (including left and right) and asymptotic behaviors are introduced from data describing rational functions, exponential with limited growth, and logistic function.

The crucial learning objective is to be able to identify which functional behavior each data set describes, the meaning of the FRC and what further info it gives about the data, similarly for the SRC, the TRC and so on. Also, based on these analyses, one should be able to sketch a graph.

### 2.2 Derivatives of various orders

The data analysis previous described sets one up to introduce the definition of derivative which comes naturally from the FRC. However, in this context, the motivation stirs from the need of a tool that would estimate values that are not given on the table. For example, from the third column of Table 1, one can conclude that for phosphorus addition between $p=6[t / a]$ and $p=$ $10[t / a]$, the production responses are the highest (fastest). Because one wants an optimal response in production, it would be fair to predict the production at $p=7[t / a]$ or at $p=9[t / a]$.

After this analysis, one should recognize the limitations of the approximation and so the need to introduce the definition of derivative, using the formalism of Limit and further apply to the analytical Equation describing the data. One can return on each of the examples behind and motivate the need for concise rules for derivatives. Note that Derivative is the direct application of what is called variable rate technology (VTR) [2], built into farm machinery, that yields monitoring, auto-steering, navigation using on-board computers and network capability.

The second derivative also comes as a natural consequence of analyzing further the data to convey the concept of concavity, maximum and minimum, as well as the definition of inflection points. These concepts are of extreme importance for applications of mathematics in many fields. Let's keep in mind, again, that agricultural science is a multidisciplinary field, and such concepts have well known applications in economy, biology and chemistry to name a few.

### 2.3 Integration

The concept of integration will be illustrated in Example 2 where the soil moisture $\theta\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ depends on the depth of soil profile $z[\mathrm{~cm}]$.

Observe also that the students get familiarized with the formulation and techniques that will help them into Soil Physics and Meteorology.

Example 2: Table 2 describes soil moisture $\theta\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ depending on depth $z[\mathrm{~cm}]$.
Table 2: Soil moisture $\theta$ as a function of depth $z$

| $z[\mathrm{~cm}]$ | $\theta(z)\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | $F R C=\frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta z}\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.15375 | 0.0012 |
| 15 | 0.17160 | $5.200 E^{-4}$ |
| 30 | 0.17940 | $-3.0666 E^{-4}$ |
| 45 | 0.17480 | 0.0020 |
| 60 | 0.20460 | -0.00169 |
| 75 | 0.17930 | -0.00236 |
| 90 | 0.14385 |  |



Figure 2: Soil moisture $\theta$ as a function of depth (z)

In order to compute the amount of soil moisture accumulated, or water stored $W_{s}$, from 0-90 cm , we use the integral:
$W_{s}=\int_{0}^{90} \theta(z) d z$
This is a classic example where one can introduce the concept of integration. At first, again one can start with the numerical integration, then moving into the analytical.

### 2.3.1 Density Function and Cumulative Density Function

Another important application of integration has to do with understanding how a characteristic of a population is distributed within the population, one resorts into understanding the distribution and density of such characteristic, which will then use integration to compute probability.

Example 3: Consider a plantation's plot of corn, and one wants to understand how the height of each plant is distributed inside the plot, which is designed in Figure 3,

| 206 | 230 | 229 | 247 | 235 | 260 | 240 | 233 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 217 | 236 | 243 | 195 | 249 | 232 | 244 | 257 |
| 233 | 235 | 241 | 225 | 217 | 230 | 252 | 239 |
| 232 | 268 | 239 | 208 | 240 | 233 | 210 | 258 |
| 190 | 232 | 219 | 245 | 196 | 216 | 232 | 235 |
| 239 | 212 | 229 | 201 | 233 | 254 | 225 | 249 |
| 222 | 244 | 228 | 221 | 232 | 215 | 227 | 198 |
| 216 | 247 | 250 | 200 | 239 | 243 | 237 | 225 |
| 228 | 226 | 253 | 225 | 247 | 244 | 233 | 203 |
| 213 | 222 | 240 | 268 | 226 | 221 | 215 | 232 |

Figure 3. Experimental plot of Corn plantation


Table 3: Height's distribution from Fig. 3

| $h$ =Intervals (cm) | Frequency | Density (\%) | $D(h)=$ Density $\frac{\%}{c m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 197 | 3 | 3.75 | 0.46875 |
| 205 | 4 | 5 | 0.62500 |
| 213 | 5 | 6.25 | 0.78500 |
| 221 | 13 | 11.25 | 1.40625 |
| 229 | 18 | 16.25 | 2.03125 |
| 237 | 14 | 22.5 | 2.8125 |
| 245 | 12 | 17.5 | 2.18750 |
| 253 | 8 | 10 | 1.25000 |
| 261 | 4 | 5 | 0.62500 |
| 269 | 2 | 2.5 | 0.25000 |

Figure4:Distribution of the height of corn plot Fig. 3


Figure 5: Cumulative Distribution

From Table 3, the histogram (Fig.4) is obtained, from which one can also obtain a probability function, which can help us calculate the probability of obtaining from the crop, a plant with height between $h=a$ and $h=b$ as:
$P(205 \leq h \leq 229)=\int_{205}^{229} D(h) d h=5+6.25+11.25+16.25=35.75 \%$
Which can be computed by numerical integration methods like Riemann Sums, Midpoint or Trapezoidal method, or another. All those methods are useful when using data, because each Proceedings of the $15^{\text {th }}$ International Conference on Precision Agriculture
has properties that are suitable to one or other type of data distribution.
Another direct application of integration is the cumulative distribution function (CDF), illustrated in Fig. 5, which is defined as
$\operatorname{CDF}=P(y)$ is the percentage of plants whose height is less than $y=\int_{0}^{y} p(x) d x$

## Calculus of Two or More Variables

To introduce functions of two or more variables, again it can be done by using data from experiments, then the definitions of domain, range need to be revised in this context. The derivative and maximum and minimum also take new notations, but all based on the knowledge and a generalization of the one variable. By this time, it should already be clear the meaning and what information we gather by making these computations.
Example 4: Table 4 registers $P(x, y)$ as the production of beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) (kg/ha) by changing levels of Nitrogen ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ), which we set to be our variable $x$, and water level ( mm ), which is our variable $y$. The Domain can be described by the Cartesian interval notation $D=$ [ 0,220$] X[105,635]$. From the data, one obtains the 3-D graph, as Fig. 6:

Table 4: Production of Beans as function of $x=$ Nitrogen (kg/ha) and $y=$ water ( mm )

| $y \backslash x$ | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 160 | 180 | 200 | 220 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 105 | 1500.937 | 1751.757 | 1929.537 | 2034.277 | 2065.977 | 2024.637 | 1910.257 | 1722.837 | 1462.377 | 1128.877 | 722.3365 | 242.7565 |
| 145 | 1733.937 | 1996.917 | 2186.857 | 2303.757 | 2347.617 | 2318.437 | 2216.217 | 2040.957 | 1792.657 | 1471.317 | 1076.937 | 609.5165 |
| 180 | 1915.394 | 2189.014 | 2389.594 | 2517.134 | 2571.634 | 2553.094 | 2461.514 | 2296.894 | 2059.234 | 1748.534 | 1364.794 | 908.014 |
| 225 | 2117.953 | 2405.253 | 2619.513 | 2760.733 | 2828.913 | 2824.053 | 2746.153 | 2595.213 | 2371.233 | 2074.213 | 1704.153 | 1261.053 |
| 265 | 2268.969 | 2568.429 | 2794.849 | 2948.229 | 3028.569 | 3035.869 | 2970.129 | 2831.349 | 2619.529 | 2334.669 | 1976.769 | 1545.829 |
| 305 | 2392.657 | 2704.277 | 2942.857 | 3108.397 | 3200.897 | 3220.357 | 3166.777 | 3040.157 | 2840.497 | 2567.797 | 2222.057 | 1803.277 |
| 345 | 2489.017 | 2812.797 | 3063.537 | 3241.237 | 3345.897 | 3377.517 | 3336.097 | 3221.637 | 3034.137 | 2773.597 | 2440.017 | 2033.397 |
| 385 | 2558.049 | 2893.989 | 3156.889 | 3346.749 | 3463.569 | 3507.349 | 3478.089 | 3375.789 | 3200.449 | 2952.069 | 2630.649 | 2236.189 |
| 425 | 2599.753 | 2947.853 | 3222.913 | 3424.933 | 3553.913 | 3609.853 | 3592.753 | 3502.613 | 3339.433 | 3103.213 | 2793.953 | 2411.653 |
| 465 | 2614.129 | 2974.389 | 3261.609 | 3475.789 | 3616.929 | 3685.029 | 3680.089 | 3602.109 | 3451.089 | 3227.029 | 2929.929 | 2559.789 |
| 505 | 2601.177 | 2973.597 | 3272.977 | 3499.317 | 3652.617 | 3732.877 | 3740.097 | 3674.277 | 3535.417 | 3323.517 | 3038.577 | 2680.597 |
| 550 | 2553.94 | 2940.04 | 3253.1 | 3493.12 | 3660.1 | 3754.04 | 3774.94 | 3722.8 | 3597.62 | 3399.4 | 3128.14 | 2783.84 |
| 595 | 2472.117 | 2871.897 | 3198.637 | 3452.337 | 3632.997 | 3740.617 | 3775.197 | 3736.737 | 3625.237 | 3440.697 | 3183.117 | 2852.497 |
| 635 | 2370.349 | 2782.289 | 3121.189 | 3387.049 | 3579.869 | 3699.649 | 3746.389 | 3720.089 | 3620.749 | 3448.369 | 3202.949 | 2884.489 |

Production of beans as function of water and nitrogen


Figure 6: Graph obtained from the data on Table 4.

The first new idea here is the level curves, corresponding to the combination of the variables $x$
and $y$ that will yield each fixed production level. The concept of partial derivative can again be introduced as the generalization of FRC, as Partial First Rate of Change (PFRC), with respect to each variable ( $x, y$ ). Its computation produces now two tables one for the approximate derivative with respect to $x$, named here (PFRCx), and another with the approximate derivative with respect to $y$, as (PFRCy). They are defined as:
(6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { PFRCx }=\frac{P\left(x_{i+1,:}\right)-P\left(x_{i},:\right)}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}=\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { PFRCy }=\frac{P\left(:, y_{i+1}\right)-P\left(:, y_{i}\right)}{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}=\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta y}
$$

One should realize that, in this example, $1 \leq i \leq 11$ for $x$ and $1 \leq i \leq 13$ for $y$.
Table 5: Snapshot of PFRCx $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \cdot \mathrm{mm})$ for $0 \leq x \leq 120$ and $105 \leq y \leq 595$, using (5) from Table 4.

| PFRCx | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | 12.541 | 8.889 | 5.237 | 1.585 | -2.067 | -5.719 | -9.371 |
| 145 | 13.149 | 9.497 | 5.845 | 2.193 | -1.459 | -5.111 | -8.763 |
| 180 | 13.681 | 10.029 | 6.377 | 2.725 | -0.927 | -4.579 | -8.231 |
| 225 | 14.365 | 10.713 | 7.061 | 3.409 | -0.243 | -3.895 | -7.547 |
| 265 | 14.973 | 11.321 | 7.669 | 4.017 | 0.365 | -3.287 | -6.939 |
| 305 | 15.581 | 11.929 | 8.277 | 4.625 | 0.973 | -2.679 | -6.331 |
| 345 | 16.189 | 12.537 | 8.885 | 5.233 | 1.581 | -2.071 | -5.723 |
| 385 | 16.797 | 13.145 | 9.493 | 5.841 | 2.189 | -1.463 | -5.115 |
| 425 | 17.405 | 13.753 | 10.101 | 6.449 | 2.797 | -0.855 | -4.507 |
| 465 | 18.013 | 14.361 | 10.709 | 7.057 | 3.405 | -0.247 | -3.899 |
| 505 | 18.621 | 14.969 | 11.317 | 7.665 | 4.013 | 0.361 | -3.291 |
| 550 | 19.305 | 15.653 | 12.001 | 8.349 | 4.697 | 1.045 | -2.607 |
| 595 | 19.989 | 16.337 | 12.685 | 9.033 | 5.381 | 1.729 | -1.923 |

Observe that the increasing and decreasing behaviors for the Nitrogen $x$ at each given level of water $y$. One may think the concept PFRCx, as if $y$ is kept fix. In here, it is done at each row.

By analogy the PFRCy is obtained, again just part of the whole table, is illustrated on Table 6.

Table 6: Snapshot of PFRCy [] for $0 \leq x \leq 120$ and $105 \leq y \leq 595$, using (6), from Table 4.

| PFRCy | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | 5.8250 | 6.1290 | 6.4330 | 6.7370 | 7.0410 | 7.3450 | 7.6490 |
| 145 | 4.5364 | 4.8024 | 5.0684 | 5.3344 | 5.6004 | 5.8664 | 6.1324 |
| 180 | 5.0639 | 5.4059 | 5.7479 | 6.0899 | 6.4319 | 6.7739 | 7.1159 |
| 225 | 3.7754 | 4.0794 | 4.3834 | 4.6874 | 4.9914 | 5.2954 | 5.5994 |
| 265 | 3.0922 | 3.3962 | 3.7002 | 4.0042 | 4.3082 | 4.6122 | 4.9162 |
| 305 | 2.409 | 2.713 | 3.017 | 3.321 | 3.625 | 3.929 | 4.233 |
| 345 | 1.7258 | 2.0298 | 2.3338 | 2.6378 | 2.9418 | 3.2458 | 3.5498 |
| 385 | 1.0426 | 1.3466 | 1.6506 | 1.9546 | 2.2586 | 2.5626 | 2.8666 |
| 425 | 0.3594 | 0.6634 | 0.9674 | 1.2714 | 1.5754 | 1.8794 | 2.1834 |
| 465 | -0.3238 | -0.0198 | 0.2842 | 0.5882 | 0.8922 | 1.1962 | 1.5002 |
| 505 | -1.1809 | -0.8389 | -0.4969 | -0.1549 | 0.1871 | 0.5291 | 0.8710 |
| 550 | -2.0455 | -1.7036 | -1.3616 | -1.0196 | -0.6776 | -0.3356 | 0.0064 |
| 595 | -2.5442 | -2.2402 | -1.9362 | -1.6322 | -1.3282 | -1.0242 | -0.7202 |

The PFRCy is obtained by computing the FRC over the column for each fixed $x$.

As in 1-D case, our goal is to observe how these rates are changing.

Using this numerical approach, it is reasonable to explain that at any given point ( $x, y$ ) these variations now are denoted by a vector, that approximate
the Gradient Vector as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P(x, y)=\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta x}, \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta y}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which will point (highlight) to regions of increasing and decreasing of the function production, and our main interest is to identify where the Numerical Gradient approaches zero, because we want to obtain the optimal combination of Nitrogen and water that would, in this case, maximize the production, meaning solving the system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta x}=0  \tag{8}\\
\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta y}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By analyzing the values one can estimate the maximum of the production to be in the subregion of the domain $R=[100,120] \times[505,550]$.

Here also can be introduced the concept of Differential and Directional Derivatives to determine the rate of change, as it was worked in 1-D version. The data analysis and graphs allow to work these concepts either by numerical means or later, when the correspondent analytical versions be defined. These will set also the need to determine how the function is changing in directions that are not necessarily the x-y directions, but a combination of those. Even though in this paper there is not intro to vectors and operations, like the usual ones and the inner product, these are part of prerequisite for multivariable calculus. In [2], these are worked prior to functions in multiple variables and with many interesting applications including in Topography.
We can graph both tables of the PFRCx and PFRCy to analyze the behavior of each. In this case, it can be seen that each PFRC is a plane, meaning that the original data can be fit into a quadratic polynomial and by various methods, either solving system, or doing best fit methods, one can obtain the analytical equation to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, y)=759.29+12.771 x+7.96 y+0.0152 x y-0.0913 x^{2}-0.00854 y^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With domain $D=[0,220] X[105,635](\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}, \mathrm{mm})$.
Another way to check that the data is indeed from a quadratic polynomial, is to compute the approximate Second Derivative, observing that one will obtain now 4 tables (instead of 2 for the gradient) corresponding to the variation of the PFRC on each coordinate $x$ and $y$, meaning that one would have four Partial Second Rate of Change (PSRC), that would be PSRCxx, PSRCxy, PSRCyx, PSRCyy. Here one can identify that for each point, the PSRC is a $2 x 2$ matrix:
$\Delta^{2} P(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { PSRCxx } & \text { PSRCxy } \\ \text { PSRCyx } & \text { PSRCyy }\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\Delta^{2} P}{\Delta x^{2}} & \frac{\Delta^{2} P}{\Delta x \Delta y} \\ \frac{\Delta^{2} P}{\Delta y \Delta x} & \frac{\Delta^{2} P}{\Delta y^{2}}\end{array}\right)$

Since an analytical equation that models the data was found Eq. (9), then analytical and usual approaches are used, that can always be looked back at the results of the approximated expressions in order to motivate the definitions of the continuous approach.

Besides introducing the concept of function with more than one variable, understanding its notation and setting, one can work on optimization, for finding maximum, minimum and saddle points, and more importantly its meaning and usefulness for applications in many areas. One may verify that the maximum production $P=3779.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ occurs at (117.43 kg/ha, $570.55 \mathrm{~mm})$. An application of these concepts is the Least Square Method, which will highlight the difference between statistical and mathematical models, and it will be used in their Statistics classes.

## Constrained Optimization - Lagrange Multipliers

Another topic that is not usually covered in a standard math course is constrained optimization. However, this is another crucial topic that needs to be taught because it is the basis for many applications, including the Method Simplex that will be discussed ahead into the Linear Algebra setting. One application will be illustrated that follows up as a continuation of the previous example, once the analytical function has been founded in Eq. (9).

Example 5: Considering the data from Table 4 and its model given by Eq. (9), one may want to maximize production subject to the fixed cost of Nitrogen and water given by the equation $C(x, y)=1.5 x+y=\$ 500$.

Without going into details of how to obtain such values and observing that the corresponding Lagrangean function $L(x, y, \mu)$ which now has three variables, which in fact here $\mu$ is a
parameter, called Lagrangean multiplier. It can be verified that the new values that satisfy the constrain of cost and maximize the production is $x=79.665 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}, y=380.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mu=$ $-2.672 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \cdot \$$. The new maximum of the production is now $P=3450.36 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, which is smaller than the previous value for unconstrained optimization, as expected. The most important result here is the interpretation of the parameter $\mu$. In this case, by using the differential of $P$, one can estimate that if instead of $\$ 500$, the owner is willing spend $\$ 501$, then P will increase by $\mu=2.672$, giving $P=3453.032 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$.
The generalization for considering other type of constrains follows, where each constrain is associated to a new multiplier, say for example $\sigma$. Then once the new Lagrangean is obtained with now four "variables", from which one can compute the respective weight that each constrain would carry over the production. Meaning that if the absolute value of $\sigma$ is greater than $\mu$, that would imply that the constrain associated with $\sigma$ has greater impact into the production than the cost which has $\mu$ as its rate of change parameter.
Needles to observe that one can apply this Method by posing the problem in a reverse way, that is, how to minimize the Cost, $C(x, y)$, subject to a set production level. Understanding how to set up such problems and interpreting its solutions may give one the appreciation for having the analytical equation handy.

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

The concepts of vector and matrices and their algebraic operations, like addition, subtraction, and scalar multiplication, come naturally from manipulating Tables with numerical data. In this section, it will describe important applications of linear algebra beyond the solution of linear systems, which is the obvious one, such as Markov chains and the Simplex Method.

## Solution of linear systems

This is the topic that has the most obvious applications pertaining to the various areas of Agronomy. The Examples seen before were the set up and the solution of the gradient system leading to critical points Eq. (9) and the solution of the system to determine the equation that models a data set (such as in Example 1). Here is a good place to understand underdetermined or overdetermined systems, as well as systems that do not have solutions.

## Markov Chains

The concept will be illustrated and discussed in an example about market distribution between companies that offers one good to the market. Usually, these setting corresponds to how to separate a limited supply. This same idea comes into play into pray-predator scheme, in genetic and in economics, to name a few.

Example 6: Consider three companies supplying milk to a market with brands $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$. The amount that each company sells varies with time, based on parameters like marketing, prices and other conveniences. Assuming that there are no other brands and that constant fractions of the consumers prefer one brand over another within the given time. This is to say that on Jan. $1^{\text {st }}$, the brands $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z have fractions $x_{0}, y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ of the market, respectively. Whereas in Feb. $1^{\text {st }}$ they have fractions $x_{1}, y_{1}$ and $z_{1}$. The question is how the market evolves in time and whether this market can be considered stable or unstable?

Solution: Consider the number of consumers $n$, and the notation $a_{i i}=$ fraction of consumers that used the same brand over time, and $a_{i j}=$ fraction that changed from brand $j$ to brand $i$ with $i \neq j$.

In the present example, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$.
The first assumption leads to the system for the market in Jan. $1^{\text {st }}$ and Feb. $1^{\text {st. }}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}+y_{0}+z_{0}=1 \\
x_{1}+y_{1}+z_{1}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the second assumption, the number of consumers that $X$ has in Feb. $1^{\text {st }}$ is equal to the numbers that did not change brand plus the ones that moved from Y and Z . This can be formalized as $x_{1} n=a_{1,1}\left(x_{0} n\right)+a_{1,2}\left(y_{0} n\right)+a_{1,3}\left(z_{0} n\right)$, meaning that in Feb. $1^{\text {st }}$ one would have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}+a_{13} z_{0}  \tag{11}\\
x_{2}=a_{21} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}+a_{23} z_{0} \\
x_{3}=a_{31} x_{0}+a_{32} y_{0}+a_{33} z_{0}
\end{array} \quad=>\quad X_{1}=A X_{0}\right.
$$

Where the matrix $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}\end{array}\right)$ and $X_{0}=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)^{t}$, where "t" stands for transpose.
The matrix $A$ has a special name called Transition Matrix because all its entries are such that $0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1$ and as each $a_{i j}$ is a fraction of a population, the elements of each column must add to 1. If in Jan. $1^{\text {st }}$ the initial market is $X_{0}=(0.2,0.3,0.5)^{t}$ and $A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0.8 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.6\end{array}\right)$ then by Feb. $1^{\text {st }}$, the distribution of the market will be $X_{1}=(0.27,0.38,0.35)^{t}$ whereas in March $1^{\text {st }}$, the distribution will be $X_{2}=A X_{1}$, which give $X_{2}=(0.327,0.398,0.275)^{t}$ meaning that the market is distributed with $32.7 \%$ with brand $\mathrm{X}, 38.9 \%$ with brand Y and $27.5 \%$ using brand Z .
The equilibrium of the system is reached when applying the same operation consistently over time, and the distribution $X=(x, y, z)^{t}$ does not change. This means that exist a vector $X$ such that $A X=X$, this equation leads to the system $(A-I) X=0$ where $I$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix, with the additional constraint that $x+y+z=1$, which can be set as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-0.2 x+0.2 y+0.1 z=0 \\
0.1 x-0.3 y+0.3 z=0 \\
0.1 x+0.1 y-0.4 x=0 \\
x+y+z=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Which leads to the solution $X=(0.45,0.35,0.2)^{t}$. meaning that there will be no transition from one brand to another when brands $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z have $45 \%, 35 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the market, respectively.

The procedure carried out is called Markov chains. As mentioned before, this set up has many applications besides economy, like in population dynamics, genetics, meteorology, to name a few.

## Linear Programming and the Simplex Method

The linear programming deals with constrained optimization that follows in the path of Lagrange multipliers, but here all functions are linear, meaning its 3D surfaces are planes if you want to set in the 3D. Recall that there in Example 5, that one had a quadratic polynomial (production) in 3D subject to a linear cost function.

The Simplex Method is possibly one of the most useful and powerful tool that Mathematicians have produced in this last century! With applications in so many areas, that Precision Agriculture has also benefited from! Its usefulness comes by the fact that it is quite simple to understand - because every function is linear - and easy to set it up in a numerical algorithm to be implemented into computers. It is a direct application of a data set, as it will be illustrated next in a rather elementary way, because the idea here is to exemplify applications pertinent.

Example 7: A poultry production of chicken need to combine calories and protein for a balanced diet for the chickens. The optimal amount consists of 3000 (Cal) from calories and minimum of $17.16 \%$ from protein. Considering that the farmer has only corn and soybean meal and each Kg of corn has $8.51 \%$ of protein and 3146 (Cal) of energy, whereas the soybean has $45.6 \%$ from protein and 2283 (Cal) per Kg , however it is possible to include 0.2 Kg of soybean on each portion of the food. Considering that the price of corn is $\$ 0.80$ per Kg and the soybean costs $\$ 3.80$ per Kg , how much of each component must be mixed to make a portion that has the minimum cost?

Solution: The Table summarizes some of the data described above.

Table 7: Data from Example 7

|  | Corn (kg) | Soybean <br> Meal (Kg) | Minimum <br> requirement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protein (\%) | 8.51 | 45.6 | 17.16 |
| Calories (Cal) | 3146 | 2283 | 3000 |
| Cost (\$) | 0.8 | 3.8 |  |

The set up corresponds minimize the cost function $C(x, y)=0.8 x+3.8 y$ where $x$ is the amount of corn and $y$ is the amount of soybean, considering the minimum requirement which is translated to a system of inequalities that need to be
satisfied. Thus, the problem becomes to
Minimize $C(x, y)=0.8 x+3.8 y$
Subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{c}0.0851 x+0.456 y \geq 0.1716 \\ 3146 x+2283 y \geq 3000 \\ y \leq 0.2 \quad \text { Because of its linear nature, the inequalities determine a } \\ x \geq 0 \\ y \geq 0\end{array} \quad\right.$ and
polygonal region in 2-D with corners (vertex), and the huge result here is that the minimum of $C(x, y)$ is attained at one of these vertex. Likewise, if one looks to maximize a function, instead of minimizing. Without detailing the fundamentals of it but understanding the Lagrange multipliers method is the key to determine the vertex that will minimize the cost and at the same time fulfill the given constraints from Table 7. By simple inspection one finds that the combination of $x=0.994 \mathrm{Kg}$ and $y=0.2 \mathrm{Kg}$ will have the cost of $1.51 \$ / \mathrm{Kg}$.
Obviously, one can add more restrictions combining these 2 components, and the set up can be extended to many variables.

## Conclusion:

It was presented here an approach on how to introduce data analysis and modeling for teaching Mathematics to Agriculture Engineering students. This was a summary which is part of a revision of a previous work by the author. The future work intends to include other topics of relevance as well as more advanced topics, such as Differential Equations.
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